

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/695,971	10/28/2003	Yasuhiro Oshima	ITECP003	8001	
25920 7590 06/16/2009 MARTINE PENILLA & GENCARELLA, LLP			EXAM	EXAMINER	
710 LAKEWAY DRIVE SUITE 200 SUNNYVALE, CA 94085			SHRESTHA, BIJENDRA K		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3691	•	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/16/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/695,971		OSHIMA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	BIJENDRA K. SHRESTHA	3691	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 13 May 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as a a

set forth in (0) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	filed,
NOTICE OF APPEAL	
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the dat filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. S Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).	
AMENDMENTS	
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because         <ul> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues f appeal; and/or	ог
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324) 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling non-allowable claim(s).	g the
7. ☒ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☒ will be entered and an explanation how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.	of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected: 1.2.7.10. 11 and 16.	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entere because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide showing a good and sufficient reasons with it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 3°C FR 33(d/t1).	e a

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. 

The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	
13. Other:	

/Alexander Kalinowski/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3691 Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's proposed amendment to the claims cure deficiencies raised under 101 and 112 rejections. Therefore, the proposed amendment will be entered and the grounds of rejection based on 35 USC 112(2) are withdrawn.

With respect to the grounds of rejection based on 35 USC 102, the Examiner notes the Final rejection is based what is recited in the claims. Claim 1 does not distinguish beween self-assesment and non-self assessment of an item. Argument with respect to "deduction for scratches and defects greater than resale price of trade-in-product" is described in the final rejection; Asami further teaches that used product is assessed from upper limit of resale price as indicated by previous sale and deducted for scratches and defects; trade-in product assessed is deducted from lime purchased as shown in Fig. 18 and 19 (Asami; Fig. 16, paragraph [0141]).